OFFICE OF APPELLATE COURTS

DEC 23 2008

FILED

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN SUPREME COURT

CX-89-1863

PROMULGATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE MINNESOTA GENERAL RULES OF PRACTICE FOR THE DISTRICT COURTS

**ORDER** 

In its report filed September 25, 2008, the Supreme Court Advisory Committee on the General Rules of Practice recommended amendments to the General Rules of Practice for the District Courts and the Special Rules of Procedure Governing Proceedings under the Minnesota Commitment and Treatment Act. This Court established a deadline of November 26, 2008, for submitting comments on the proposal. The Supreme Court has reviewed the proposals and the submitted comments, and is fully advised in the premises.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The attached amendments to the General Rules of Practice for the District Courts and the Special Rules of Procedure Governing Proceedings under the Minnesota Commitment and Treatment Act be, and the same hereby are, prescribed and promulgated to be effective on March 1, 2009.
- 2. The attached amendments shall apply to all actions pending on the effective date and to those filed thereafter.
- The inclusion of Advisory Committee comments is made for convenience and 3. does not reflect court approval of the comments made therein.
- The order of this court dated December 19, 2008, contained several 4. typographical errors and is superseded to the extent inconsistent herewith.

DATED: December 22,2008

BY THE COURT:

Eric J. Magnuson

Chief Justice

## **Amendments to the General Rules of Practice for the District Courts:**

[Note to publishers: Deletions are indicated by a line drawn through the text; additions are underlined.]

#### RULE 8. INTERPRETERS

2 \*\*\*

1

4	Rule 8.13.	Requirement for	Notice of Antici	pated Need for	Interpreter

In order to permit the court to make arrangements for the availability of

- 6 required interpreter services, parties shall, in the Informational Statement or Joint
- 7 Statement of the Case, and as may otherwise be required by court rule or order,
- advise the court of that need in advance of the hearing or trial where services are
- 9 <u>required.</u>

When it becomes apparent that previously-requested interpreter services will not be required, the parties must advise the court.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10

11

### Advisory Committee Comment—2008 Amendment

Making a qualified interpreter available when needed in court often requires difficult prearrangement. Rule 8.13 is a simple rule drawing the attention of litigants to the likelihood they will encounter specific court rules or orders requiring identification of interpreter needs in advance of the need. See amendments to Rules 111.02, 111.03, 112.02, Forms 111.02 & 112.01, and Minnesota Civil Trialbook sections 5 & 11.

The second paragraph of the rule contains an obvious corollary; when it becomes clear that interpreter services will no longer be required, notice must be given to permit the court to avoid the expense that would otherwise be incurred. This notice would be required if a trial or hearing were obviated by settlement, and the requirement of notice is similar to that required by MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 115.10 for the settlement of a motion, which would obviate a hearing and the court's preparation for the hearing.

#### **RULE 111. SCHEDULING OF CASES**

28

29

27

# Rule 111.02. The Party's Informational Statement

- Within sixty days after an action has been filed, each party shall submit, on a form to be available from the court (see form 111.02 appended to these rules), the information needed by the court to manage and schedule the case. The information provided shall include:
- (a) The status of service of the action;
  - (b) Whether the statement is jointly prepared;
- 36 (c) Description of case;

35

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

5.3

54

55

56

- (d) Whether a jury trial is requested or waived;
  - (e) Discovery contemplated and estimated completion date;
  - (f) Whether assignment to an expedited, standard, or complex track is requested;
    - (g) The estimated trial time;
      - (h) Any proposals for adding additional parties;
  - (i) Other pertinent or unusual information that may affect the scheduling or completion of pretrial proceedings;
    - (j) Recommended alternative dispute resolution process, the timing of the process, the identity of the neutral selected by the parties or, if the neutral has not yet been selected, the deadline for selection of the neutral. If ADR is believed to be inappropriate, a description of the reasons supporting this conclusion;
    - (k) A proposal for establishing any of the deadlines or dates to be included in a scheduling order pursuant to Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 111.03-; and
  - (1) Identification of interpreter services (specifying language and, if known, particular dialect) any party anticipates will be required for any witness or party.

# Rule 111.03. Scheduling Order

(a) When Issued. No sooner than sixty days and no longer than ninety days after an action has been filed, the court shall enter its scheduling order. The

58	without a conference or hearing if none is needed.
59	(b) Contents. The scheduling order shall provide for alternative dispute
60	resolution as required by Rule 114.04(c) and shall establish a date for completion
61	of discovery. The order may also establish any of the following:
62	(1) Deadlines for joining additional parties, whether by
63	amendment or third-party practice;
64	(2) Deadlines for bringing non-dispositive or dispositive
65	motions;
66	(3) Deadlines or specific dates for submitting particular
67	issues to the court for consideration;
68	(4) A deadline for completing any independent physical,
69	mental or blood examination pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 35;
70	(5) A date for a formal discovery conference pursuant to
71	Minn. R. Civ. P. 26.06, a pretrial conference or conferences
72	pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 16, or a further scheduling
73	conference.
74	(6) Deadlines for filing any pre-trial submissions,
75	including proposed instructions, verdicts, or findings of fact,
76	witness lists, exhibits lists, statements of the case or any
77	similar documents;
78	(7) Whether the case is a jury trial, or court trial if a jury
79	has been waived by all parties;
	~ * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

court may issue the order after either a telephone or in-court conference, or

80	(8) Identification of interpreter services (specifying
81	language and, if known, particular dialect) any party
82	anticipates will be required for any witness or party;
83	(89) A date for submission of a Joint Statement of the Case
84	pursuant to Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 112; or
85	(9 <u>10</u> ) A trial date.
86	
87	Advisory Committee Comment—2008 Amendment
88 89	Rules 111.02(1) and 111.03(b)(8) are new provisions, adopted as part of amendments designed to foster earlier gathering of information about the
90 91	potential need for interpreter services in a case, either for witnesses or for a party. See Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 8.13.
91	party. See Minor. OEN. K. 1 KAC. 6.15.
92	RULE 112. JOINT STATEMENT OF THE CASE
93	
94	* * *
95	Rule 112.02. Contents
96	The Joint Statement of the Case shall contain the following information to
97	the extent applicable:
98	(a) a statement that all parties have been served, that the case is at issue,
99	and that all parties have joined in the filing of the Statement of the Case.
100	(b) an estimated trial time.
101	(c) whether a jury trial has been requested, and if so, by which party.
102	(d) counsels' opinion whether the case should be handled as an expedited,
103	standard, or complex case (determination to be made by the court).
104	(e) a concise statement of the case indicating the facts that Plaintiff(s)
105	intend to prove and the legal basis for all claims.
106	(f) a concise statement of the case indicating the facts that Defendant(s)
107	intend to prove and the legal basis for all defenses and counterclaims.

(g) names and addresses of all witnesses known to the lawyer or client who may be called at the trial by each party, including expert witnesses and the particular area of expertise each expert will be addressing. If any witness or party is likely to require interpreter services, that fact and the nature of the required services (specifying language and, if known, particular dialect) shall be provided.

Advisory Committee Comment—2008 Amendment

Rule 112.02 is amended to include a provision designed to foster earlier gathering of information about the potential need for interpreter services in a case, either for witnesses or for a party. See MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 8.13.

#### FORM 111.02. INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT (Civil Matters--Non-Family) 119 120 State of Minnesota District Court 121 122 COUNTY JUDICIAL DISTRICT CASE NO. 123 124 125 Case Type: \_\_\_\_\_ 126 127 Plaintiff 128 129 and INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT FORM 130 131 132 Defendant 133 134 1. All parties (have) (have not) been served with process. 135 2. All parties (have) (have not) joined in the filing of this form. 136 3. Brief description of the case: 137 138 It is estimated that the discovery specified below can be completed within months 139 from the date of this form. (Check all that apply, and supply estimates where indicated.) 140 141 a. Factual Depositions No \_\_\_\_\_, estimated number: \_\_\_\_\_ No Yes , estimated number: b. Medical Evaluations 142 c. Experts Subject to Discovery No Yes , estimated number: 143 Assignment as an \_\_\_\_ expedited \_\_\_\_ standard \_\_\_ complex case is requested. (If not 144 standard case assignment, include brief statement setting forth the reasons for the request.) 145 146 147 148 6. The dates and deadlines specified below are suggested. a. \_\_\_\_\_ Deadline for joining additional parties, whether by amendment or third 149 party practice. 150 b \_\_\_\_\_ Deadline for bringing non-dispositive motions. 151 c. \_\_\_\_ Deadline for bringing dispositive motions. 152 d. \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the court. 153 (specify issue) 154 e. \_\_\_\_\_ Deadline for completing independent physical examination 155 pursuant to Minn.R.Civ.P. 35. 156 f. \_\_\_\_\_ Date for formal discovery conference pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 26 06. 157 g. \_\_\_\_ Date for pretrial conference pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 16. 158 h. Date for scheduling conference. 159 i. \_\_\_\_\_ Date for submission of a Joint Statement of the Case pursuant to 160 Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 112. 161 j. \_\_\_\_\_ Trial Date. 162 k. \_\_\_\_\_ Deadline for filing (proposed instructions), (verdicts), (findings of fact), 163 (witness list), (exhibit list). 164 I. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Deadline for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (specify) 165

7.	r	Estimated trial time: days hours (estimates less than a day must be stated in hours).
8		A jury trial is: ( ) waived by consent of pursuant to R. Civ. P. 38.02 (specify party)
		(specify party)
		( ) requested by (NOTE: Applicable fee must be enclosed.)
		( ) requested by (NOTE: Applicable fee must be enclosed.)  (specify party)
9	. a	Meeting: Counsel for the parties met on to discuss case
		management issues. (Date)
	t	ADR PROCESS (Check one):
		[] Counsel agree that ADR is appropriate and choose the following:
		[] Mediation
		[] Arbitration (non-binding)
		[] Arbitration (binding)
		[] Med-Arb
		[] Early Neutral Evaluation
		Moderated Settlement Conference
		[] Mini-Trial
		[] Summary Jury Trial
		[] Consensual Special Magistrate
		[] Impartial Fact Finder
		[] Other (describe)
		[] Council name that ADD is appropriate but request that the Court release the process
		[] Counsel agree that ADR is appropriate but request that the Court select the process.
		[ ] Counsel agree that ADR is NOT appropriate because: [ ] the case implicates the federal or state constitution.
		[] other (explain with particularity)
		[] Other (explain with particularity)
		domestic violence has occurred between the parties
	С	PROVIDER (Check one):
		The parties have selected the following ADR neutral:
		[] The parties cannot agree on an ADR neutral and request the Court to appoint one
		[] The parties agreed to select an ADR neutral on or before
	d	DEADLINE: The parties recommend that the ADR process be completed by
		(Date)
<u>10</u>	). F	ease identify any party or witness who will require interpreter services, and describe the
se	rvice	(specifying language and, if known, particular dialect) needed.
	=	
10		
		ease list any additional information which might be helpful to the court when scheduling
tin	is ma	
	_	
	_	
ç:	anad	Signed:
JI,	gneu	Lawyer for (Plaintiff) (Defendant)  Lawyer for (Plaintiff) (Defendant)
		maining to the institution of the following

Attor	ney Reg. #:		Attorney Reg. #:		
	rm: ddress: elephone: ate:		Firm: Address:		
			TEMENT OF THE O		
			TEMENT OF THE C		
State	of Minnesota			District Cou	
CO	UNTY		JUDICIAL DISTRICT	CASE NO.	
			Case Type:		
	Plaint	iff ,			
	and		JOINT STATEMENT OF	THE CASE	
	Defen	dant			
	All parties have been serve he filing of this Joint State		e case is at issue and all pa	rties have joined in	
2. E	Estimated trial time: d	lays hours (esti	mates less than a day must	be stated in hours).	
3. J	ury is requested by theequest, then a \$30 fee mu	_ plaintiff defe st be paid when fili	endant. [If this is a change fing this document.]	from a court to a jury	
4. 0	Concise statement of the c	ase including facts	plaintiff(s) intend to prove	and legal basis for c	
	Concise statement of the c lefenses and counterclaim		defendant(s) intend to prov	ve and legal basis for	
 6 I			own to either party that eith		
1:	List the names and addresses of witnesses known to either party that either party may call. Indicate the party who expects to call the witness and whether the party intends to qualify the witness as an expert. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)				
		ne/Addresses	Please Indicate if		
F	Party of V	Vitnesses	Expert Witness	,	
				Yes	
_			·····	Yes Yes	
_				i es	

; } )	7. Identify any party or witness who will require interpreter services, and describe the services (specifying language, and, if known, particular dialect) needed.
	In claims involving personal injury, attach a statement by each claimant, whether by complaint or counterclaim, setting forth a detailed description of claimed injuries and an itemized list of special damages as required by the rule. Indicate whether parties will exchange medical reports.
; }	89. In claims involving vehicle accidents, attach a statement describing the vehicles with information as to ownership and the name of insurance carriers, if any.
)	[Signature Blocks]
)	
}	(If more space is needed to add additional information or parties, attach a separate sheet typed in the same format.)
	The undersigned counsel have met and conferred this day of and certify the foregoing is true and correct.
}	Signature
)	Signature
! }	Signature
ļ	

# PART H. MINNESOTA CIVIL TRIALBOOK

286	Section 5. Pre-Trial Conferences
287	* * *
288	(d) Pre-trial Chambers Conferences. At an informal chambers
289	conference before trial the trial court shall:
290	(1) determine whether settlement possibilities have been
291	exhausted;
292	(2) determine whether all pleadings have been filed;
293	(3) ascertain the relevance to each party of each cause o
294	action; and,
295 296	(4) with a view to ascertaining and reducing the issues to be tried, shall inquire:
297 298	(i) whether the issues in the case may be narrowed of modified by stipulations or motions;
299 300	(ii) whether dismissal of any of the causes of actions of parties will be requested;
301 302	(iii) whether stipulations may be reached as to those facts about which there is no substantial controversy;
303 304 305	(iv) whether stipulations may be reached for waiver of foundation and other objections regarding exhibits tests, or experiments;
306 307	<ul> <li>(v) whether there are any requests for producing evidence out of order;</li> </ul>

308	(vi) whether motions in limine to exclude or admit
309	specified evidence or bar reference thereto will be
310	requested; and
311	(vii) whether there are any unusual or critical legal or
312	evidentiary issues anticipated;
313	(5) direct the parties to disclose the number and names of
314	witnesses they anticipate calling, and to make good faith
315	estimates as to the length of testimony and arguments;
316	(6) direct the parties to disclose whether any party or
317	witness requires interpreter services and, if so, the nature of
318	the interpreter services (specifying language and, if known,
319	particular dialect) required;
320	(67) inquire whether the number of experts or other
321	witnesses may be reduced;
322	(78) ascertain whether there may be time problems in
323	presentation of the case, e.g., because of other commitments
324	of counsel, witnesses, or the court and advise counsel of the
325	hours and days for trial; and
326	(89) ascertain whether counsel have graphic devices they
327	want to use during opening statements; and
328	$(9\underline{10})$ ascertain whether a jury, if previously demanded, will
329	be waived. If a jury is requested, the judge shall make
330	inquiries with a view to determining:
331	(i) the areas of proposed voir dire interrogation to be
332	directed to prospective jurors, and whether there is any

333		contention that the case is one of "unusual
334		circumstances";
335 336 337 338	(ii)	the substance of a brief statement to be made by the trial court to the prospective jurors outlining the case, the contentions of the parties, and the anticipated issues to be tried;
339	(iii)	the number of alternate jurors (it is suggested that the identity of the alternates not be disclosed to the jury);
340 341		and
342 343	(iv)	in multiple party cases, whether there are issues as to the number of "sides" and allocation of peremptory
344		challenges.
345	* * *	rock Committee Comment 2808 Amendment
346 347 348 349 350 351	Section 5(d 111.02(I), 111. information about	Sory Committee Comment—2008 Amendment  1)(6) is new, added to reflect the amendments to Rules 03(b)(8), and 112.02(g), requiring earlier disclosure of the potential need for interpreter services in a case, either for party. See MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 8.13.
352		Section 11. Interpreters
353	The party calling a	witness for whom an interpreter is required shall advise
354	the court in advance in the	Informational Statement or Joint Statement of the Case
355	of the need for an interpret	ter and interpreter services (specifying language and, if
356	known, particular dialect)	expected to be required. Parties shall not use a relative
357	or friend as an interpreter i	in a contested proceeding, except as approved by the
358	court.	
359		Constitution of the consti
360 361 362	This section	sory Committee Comment—2008 Amendment  n is amended to incorporate the amendments to Rules 03(b)(8), and 112.02(g), requiring earlier disclosure of

information about the potential need for interpreter services in a case, either for witnesses or for a party. See MINN, GEN, R, PRAC, 8.13.

#### Rule 12. Requirement for Comparable Means of Service 365 In all cases, a party serving a paper on a party and filing the same paper 366 with the court must select comparable means of service and filing so that the 367 papers are delivered substantially contemporaneously. This rule does not apply to 368 service of a summons or a subpoena. 369 In emergency situations, where compliance with this rule is not possible, 370 the facts of attempted compliance must be provided by affidavit. 371 372 Advisory Committee Comment—2008 Amendment 373 Rule 12 is a new rule, recommended to codify a longstanding practice of 374 375 professional courtesy: that papers served both to the court and to the other party be served and filed by comparable means. The rule does not require that the 376 377 same means be used; but if hand delivery to the court is chosen for filing, then either hand delivery, overnight courier sent the day before, or facsimile 378 transmission to other party must be used. The measure of compliance is 379 approximate simultaneity; the purpose of the rule is to discourage gameplaying 380 over service. Fairness requires that service and filing occur at about the same 381 time; delivering papers immediately to the court and then serving them 382 leisurely upon counsel is not justified and in some cases is not fair. 383 384

### **RULE 119. APPLICATIONS FOR ATTORNEY FEES**

387 \*\*\*

# Rule 119.05. Attorney Fees in Default Proceedings

- (a) A party proceeding by default and seeking an award of attorney fees that has established a basis for the award under applicable law, including parties seeking to enforce a confession of judgment, may obtain approval of the fees administratively without a motion hearing, provided that:
  - (1) the fees requested do not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the principal balance owing as requested in that party's pleadings, up to a maximum of \$3,000.00. Such a party may seek a minimum of \$250.00; and
  - (2) the requesting party's pleading includes a claim for attorney fees in an amount greater than or equal to the amount sought upon default; and
  - (3) the defaulting party, after default has occurred, has been provided notice of the right to request a hearing under section (c) of this rule, a form for making such a request substantially similar to Form 119.05 as published by the state court administrator, and the affidavit required under Rule 119.02.

406 \*\*\*

(c) A defaulting party may request a hearing and further judicial review of the attorneys' fees requested by completing a "Request for Hearing" provided by the plaintiff substantially similar to Form 119.05 as published by the state court administrator. A party may serve the form, at any time after a default has occurred, provided that the defaulting party is given at least twenty (20) days

notice before the request for judgment is made. A defaulting party must serve the 412 Request for Hearing upon the requesting party or its counsel within twenty (20) 413 days of its receipt. Upon timely receipt of a Request for Hearing the party seeking 414 fees shall request a judicial assignment and have the hearing scheduled. 415 \* \* \* 416 417 Advisory Committee Comment-2008 Amendment 418 Rule 119.05 is amended to remove Form 119.05 from the rules, and to 419 420 permit the maintenance and publication of the form by the state court administrator. The form, together with other court forms, can be found at 421 422 http://www.mncourts.gov/. 423 [Form 119.05 is to be deleted from the Rules and published by the State 424 Court Administrator; the deletion is not shown in strikeout underline format to 425 conserve space] 426 RULE 702. BAIL 427 428 \* \* \* 429 (d) Posting Bonds. Before any person is released on bond, the bond must 430 be approved by a judge after submission to the prosecuting lawyer for approval of 431 form and execution and filed with the court administrator during business hours or 432 thereafter with the custodian of the jail. In cases where bail has been set by the 433 court and the defendant has provided a bail bond with corporate surety, approval 434 by a judge is unnecessary if the bond conforms to Form 702 as published by the 435 state court administrator. 436 \* \* \* 437 438 Advisory Committee Comment-2008 Amendment 439 Rule 702(d) is amended to remove Form 702 from the rules, and to 440 permit the maintenance and publication of the form by the state court 441 administrator. The form, together with other court forms, can be found at 442 http://www.mncourts.gov/. 443

445	[Form 702 is to be deleted from the Rules and published by the State Court
446	Administrator; the deletion is not shown in strikeout underline format to conserve
447	space.]
448	
449	RULE 131 USE OF INTERACTIVE VIDEO TELECONFERENCE
450	IN CIVIL CASES
451	
	Dul. 121 01 Definitions
452	Rule 131.01. Definitions.
453	(a) "ITV" refers to interactive video teleconference.
454	(b) A "terminal site" is any location where ITV is used for any portion of a
455	court proceeding.
456	(c) The "venue county" is the county where pleadings are filed and
457	hearings are held under current court procedures.
458	Rule 131.02. Permissible Uses; Initiation.
459	In all civil actions and proceedings including commitment proceedings
	subject to the Special Rules of Procedure Governing Proceedings Under the
460	
461	Minnesota Commitment and Treatment Act, the court may conduct hearings and
462	admit oral testimony, subject to cross-examination, by live audio-visual means,
463	where authorized by this rule.
464	(a) Scheduling Conflicts. All scheduling conflicts and priorities shall be
465	determined by the judge(s).
466	(b) Use of ITV on Court's Initiative; Notice. If the court on its own
467	initiative orders the use of live audio-visual means (ITV) to conduct hearings and
468	proceedings, it shall give notice in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure
469	and General Rules of Practice, which notice shall advise the parties of the duty to
470	exchange information under Rule 131.04, and the prohibition on recording in Rule
471	131.06(i).

approval and site availability, stipulate that a hearing or proceeding be conducted by ITV in accordance with the procedures established in this rule. The parties shall contact the court administrator as soon as possible to permit scheduling of ITV facilities. A written, signed stipulation requesting the use of ITV shall be filed with the court at least 24 hours prior to the date set for the ITV hearing or proceeding. The stipulation shall be substantially in the form set forth in the Stipulation and Approval form as published by the state court administrator. The parties are responsible for making arrangements to use any site that is outside the control of the court in the venue county, for providing the necessary contact information to the court administrator, and for ensuring the compatibility of the equipment.

## (d) Use of ITV Upon Motion.

(1) **Request.** Any party may, by motion, request the use of ITV for a hearing or proceeding in accordance with this rule. No motion for use of ITV shall be heard until the moving party serves a copy of the motion on the opposing counsel and files the original with the court administrator at least seven (7) days prior to the scheduled hearing or proceeding for which ITV use is requested. The moving party may, ex parte, contact the court for an expedited hearing date on the motion for use of ITV and for waiver of the usual notice of hearing. The moving party is responsible under Rule 131.02(c) for making arrangements to use any site that is outside the control of the court in the venue county, for providing the necessary contact information to the court administrator, and for ensuring the compatibility of the equipment. The motion shall include, as an attachment, a notice advising the other parties of their right to object to use

501	of 11 V, the consequences of failing to timely the an
502	objection, the duty to exchange information under Rule
503	131.04, and the prohibition on recording in Rule 131.06(i). A
504	sample notice is published by the state court administrator.
505	(2) Objection. Any party objecting to a motion for use of
506	ITV may file and serve a response to the motion 48 hours
507	prior to the hearing on the motion for use of ITV.
508	(3) Burden of Proof. The moving party must establish
509	good cause for use of ITV by a preponderance of the
510	evidence.
511	(4) Good Cause. The Court shall consider the following
512	factors to determine "good cause":
513	(i) Whether a timely objection has been made;
514	(ii) Whether any undue surprise or prejudice would result;
515	(iii) The convenience of the parties, counsel, and the court;
516	(iv) The cost and time savings;
517	(v) The importance and complexity of the proceeding:
518	(vi) Whether the proponent has been unable, after due
519	diligence, to procure the physical presence of a
520	witness;
521	(vii) The convenience to the parties and the proposed
522	witness, and the cost of producing the witness in
523	relation to the importance of the offered testimony;

524	(viii) Whether the procedure would allow effective cross-
525	examination, especially where documents and exhibits
526	available to the witness may not be available to
527	counsel;
528	(ix) Whether the surroundings maintain the solemnity and
529	integrity of the proceedings and thereby impress upon
530	the witness the duty to testify truthfully;
531	(x) Whether the witness is presently in prison or
532	incarcerated; and,
533	(xi) Such other factors as the court may, in each individual
534	case, determine to be relevant.
535	(5) Emergency Circumstances. The court may shorten
536	the time periods provided in this rule 131.02(d) upon a
537	showing of good cause.
538	(6) <b>Determination.</b> If the use of ITV is thereafter allowed
539	and ordered by the court, the hearing shall proceed, by ITV,
540	in accordance with the provisions of this rule. If the court
541	determines that good cause for the use of ITV has not been
542	established, the hearing or proceeding shall be heard as
543	provided by the Rules of Civil Procedure and General Rules
544	of Practice.

# Rule 131.03. Costs and Arrangements; Certification

546

547

548

545

(a) Costs. The party or parties, other than the court, requesting use of ITV for any hearing or proceeding shall be responsible for any additional use or other

549	fees over and above those normally incurred by the venue county in connecting
550	from one court site to another court site within the district or collaboration area.
551	(b) Arrangements. If the court on its own initiative orders ITV, the court
552	shall, through the court administrator where the case is venued, establish and make
553	arrangements to carry out the ITV procedures required in order for the court to
554	hear the case as an ITV hearing or proceeding. In all other cases it will be the
555	responsibility of the party requesting the use of ITV to contact the court
556	administrator where the case is venued who shall, working with the judge
557	assigned, establish a hearing date and time so that the case may be scheduled as an
558	ITV hearing or proceeding. The court and counsel shall use reasonable efforts to
559	confer with one another in scheduling ITV hearings or proceedings so as not to
560	cause, delay or create scheduling conflicts.
561	(c) Service. The moving party shall have the responsibility of preparing,
562	serving and filing the motion and notice of motion papers as required by this rule.
563	(d) Certification. By signing a stipulation or motion for use of ITV, a
564	person certifies that the use of ITV will be in accordance with the provisions of
565	this rule, including, without limitation, the requirement in Rule 131.06(i) that no
566	recording shall be made of any ITV proceeding except the recording made as the
567	official court record.
568	Rule 131.04. Exchange of information.
569	Whenever ITV is to be used to conduct a hearing or proceeding, evidentiary
570	exhibits shall be exchanged with all other parties and submitted to the court, as
571	appropriate, prior to the commencement of the hearing or proceeding.
572	Rule 131.05. Location of Participants.
573	During the ITV hearing:
574	(a) The judge may be at any terminal site.  (b) The court clark shall be in the veryes county unless otherwise.
575	(b) The court clerk shall be in the venue county unless otherwise
576	AUDOCIZEO DV IDE DIESIODO HIOVE

- (c) Except as otherwise provided in rule 131.05(d) regarding commitment proceedings, counsel for the parties shall be present at the site from which the party they represent will participate in the hearing, unless the court approves another location prior to the hearing, and witnesses and other interested parties may be located at any terminal site that will allow satisfactory video and audio reception at all other sites.
- (d) In commitment proceedings, the respondent's attorney shall be present at the ITV site from which the respondent will participate in the proceedings.

# Rule 131.06. Proceedings.

In any proceeding conducted by ITV under this rule:

- 587 (a) Parties entitled to be heard shall be given prior notice of the manner and
  588 time of the hearing or proceeding.
- 589 (b) Witnesses may testify by ITV at all hearings, including contested 590 matters.
  - (c) Regardless of the physical location of any party to the ITV hearing or proceeding, any waiver, stipulation, motion, objection, decision, order or any other actions taken by the court or a party has the same effect as if done in person.

    Court orders that bear the presiding judge's signature may be transmitted electronically or via facsimile machine to the various ITV sites for the purpose of service.
  - (d) The court administrator of the venue county will keep court minutes and maintain court records as if the proceeding were heard in person.
  - (e) All proceedings held by ITV will be governed by the Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, the General Rules of Practice and state law, except as herein provided. Courtroom decorum during ITV hearings will conform to the extent possible to that required during traditional court proceedings.

603	(f) A sheriff, sheriff's deputy, bailiff or other licensed peace officer shall
604	be present at each ITV site for the purpose of maintaining order, as the court
605	deems necessary.
606	(g) The court shall ensure that each party has adequate opportunity to
607	speak privately with counsel, including, where appropriate, suspension of the
608	audio transmission and recording or allowing counsel to leave the conference table
609	to communicate with the client in private.
610	(h) Judges may continue any hearing that cannot proceed due to ITV
611	equipment problems or failure, unless other arrangements to proceed with the
612	hearing are agreed upon by all parties.
613	(i) No recording shall be made of any ITV proceeding except the recording
614	made as the official court record. This Rule 131 does not supersede the provisions
615	of the Minnesota Rules of Public Access to Records of the Judicial Branch.
616	Rule 131.07. Administrative Procedures.
617	The following administrative procedures are applicable to all ITV
618	proceedings:
619	(a) Off-Camera Presence. During a hearing conducted by ITV, all off-
620	camera persons at any participating ITV terminal site must be identified for the
621	record. This shall not apply to members of the public located in general public
622	seating areas of any courtroom.
623	(b) Court Administrator Duties. The Court Administrator for each
624	county shall be responsible for the following:
625	(1) Ensure that the ITV equipment is ready and
626	functioning properly in advance of any ITV hearing, so that
627	there will be no interference with the punctual
628	commencement of a hearing.

629		(2) Provide participants an opportunity to become familiar
630		with use of the ITV equipment and courtroom procedure prior
631		to commencement of the hearing.
632		(3) Set ITV system configuration as designated by the
633		presiding judge. The presiding judge shall consider the
634		objections or concerns of any party.
635		(4) Monitor audio and video quality, making adjustments
636		and providing technical assistance throughout the hearing as
637		necessary.
638		(5) Ensure that any court documents or exhibits that the
639		judge will require prior to or during the course of the hearing
640		are mailed or faxed to the judge prior to commencement of
641		the hearing.
642		(6) Be familiar with problem management procedures,
643		including steps to be taken in performing initial problem
644		determination, identity and location of individual(s) who
645		should be contacted if initial problem/resolution attempts fail,
646		and service call placement procedures.
647	(c) Technic	al Standards. The following technical standards should be
648	followed:	
649		(1) To optimize picture clarity, the room should have
650		diffused lighting and window shades to block external light.
651		(2) To optimize viewing, monitors should be placed in a
652		darkened area of the room and be of sufficient size and
653		number to allow convenient viewing by all participants.

654	(3) Cameras and microphones should be sufficient in
655	number to allow video and audio coverage of all participants,
656	prevent crowding of participants, facilitate security, and
657	protect confidential communications.
658	(4) Audio and visual must be synchronized and
659	undistorted.
660	(5) All hearing participants should speak directly into their
661	microphones.
662	
663	Advisory Committee Comments—2008 Amendment
664	In October 1999 the Supreme Court informally approved the use of ITV
665	in civil cases but did not adopt any specific rules. The addition of Rule 131 in
666	2008 is intended to provide a uniform procedure permitting the use of
667	interactive video teleconferencing (ITV) to conduct hearings and admit oral
668	testimony in civil cases. It is based on protocols developed and implemented
669	for a pilot project in the Ninth Judicial District and later tweaked by a
670	subcommittee of the Court's former Technology Planning Committee. The
671	success of the pilot project is reported in NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE
672	COURTS, COURT SERVICES DIVISION, ASSESSMENT OF THE INTERACTIVE
673	TELEVISION PROGRAM IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA (Sept.
674	<u>1999).</u>
675	Rule 131.02 identifies the situations in which the district court may
676	authorize the use of ITV by order: upon the court's own initiative, upon
677	stipulation by the parties, or upon a showing of good cause. The court as part of
678	its overall case management practice initiated the bulk of the orders in the
679	Ninth Judicial District pilot project. It is anticipated that use of ITV will vary
680	by district, depending on factors such as geographical size and the nature of the
681	cases.
682	Rule 131.02(b) recognizes that when a court orders the use of ITV on its
683	own initiative, the court must notify the parties of the use of ITV. Notices are to
684	be in accordance with rules of civil procedure and the general rules of practice.
685	Once an order is filed, MINN, R. CIV. P. 77.04 requires the court administrator
686	to serve notice of the order immediately by mail, and MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 1.03
687	requires that service be made on a party's attorney if represented, otherwise on
688	the party directly. The notice of ITV use may also be incorporated into a scheduling order issued under Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 111.03. Regardless of the
689	
690	precise mechanism, the notice of ITV use must include the information required in Rule 131.02(b). A sample notice is set forth for publication by the
691	
692 693	state court administrator.  Parties may, subject to court approval, stipulate to the use of 1TV under
694	rule 131.02(c). Upon reaching a stipulation, the parties must contact the court
695	administrator as soon as possible to obtain a date and time for the ITV hearing.
696	Failure to provide adequate lead time may result in rejection of the stipulation.
697	The parties are responsible for making arrangements to use any site that is
698	outside the control of the court in the venue county. Parties should be aware
699	that use of court and other governmental terminal sites might be subject to
700	collaboration agreements entered into between courts and other government
701	agencies. This may limit the availability of, or control the costs of using or
•	

accessing certain terminal sites, particularly those outside the county or district where the action is venued or outside the state's dedicated MNET network. Under Rule 131.03 parties requesting use of ITV for any hearing or proceeding are responsible for any additional use or other fees over and above those normally incurred by the venue county in connecting from one collaboration site to another. Parties are also responsible for ensuring compatibility of equipment for sites outside the control of the venue county.

Finally, a written, signed stipulation in the format substantially similar to the form appended to the rule must be filed with the court no later than twenty-

Finally, a written, signed stipulation in the format substantially similar to the form appended to the rule must be filed with the court no later than twenty-four (24) hours prior to the hearing. By signing the stipulation the parties certify that they will follow the protocol, including, without limitation, the requirement in Rule 131.06(i) that no recording shall be made of the ITV proceeding except a recording made as the official record of the proceeding. Access to recordings of proceedings is governed by Rule 4, subd. 3, of the RULES OF PUBLIC ACCESS TO RECORDS OF THE JUDICIAL BRANCH.

Rule 131.02(d) sets forth requirements for requesting ITV use when there is no stipulation by the parties. A formal motion is required, and it must be served and filed at least seven days prior to the scheduled hearing or proceeding for which ITV use is requested. The rule authorizes ex parte contact with the court for purposes of obtaining an expedited hearing date on the motion for use of ITV. See MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 115.04 (non-dispositive motions normally must be served and filed at 14 days in advance of the hearing). The moving party is responsible under Rule 131.03 for making arrangements to use any site that is outside the control of the court in the venue county, for providing the necessary contact information to the court administrator, for ensuring the compatibility of the equipment, and paying any additional costs incurred by the court in facilitating the ITV session. The motion must also include or be accompanied by a notice informing opposing parties of their right to object, consequences of failure to object, requirements for exchange of information, and prohibitions on recording an ITV session (a sample notice is provided for publication by the state court administrator).

Objections to a motion for use of ITV must be made prior to the hearing on the motion. The failure of an opposing party to object may be considered along with other factors set forth in Rule 131.02(d)(4) that may determine good cause for use of ITV. The moving party has the burden of establishing good cause.

Rule 131.02(d)(5) permits the court to shorten the time periods provided for in Rule 131.02 in emergent circumstances upon a proper showing. As of the time of the drafting of this commentary, a different time period is established for requesting ITV use in commitment cases under Rule 14 of the SPECIAL RULES OF PROCEDURE UNDER THE MINNESOTA COMMITMENT AND TREATMENT ACT (requires notice to the other party at least 24 hours in advance of the hearing, and court approval). The drafting committee is of the opinion that following the protocol with the ability to shorten the time frames when necessary will be sufficient to address the needs of commitment and other matters covered by this rule.

Rule 131.03 places responsibility for costs and site arrangements with those seeking to use ITV. The court assumes this responsibility when ordering ITV on its own initiative, as is done for the bulk of the ITV proceedings in the Ninth Judicial District pilot project. When a party or parties initiate the request, however, Rules 131.02(c) and 131.02(d) shift some of the responsibility to the requesting party or parties. Parties also certify that they will comply with the protocol, including the prohibition in Rule 131.06(i) against recording ITV sessions.

Rule 131.04 attempts to highlight an important logistical requirement when ITV is used. Documents and other information need to be exchanged and submitted to the court, where appropriate, prior to the ITV session. This is particularly important when the parties are located at different sites.

Rule 131.07(b) recognizes that ITV use imposes new logistical duties on court administration staff. This section is intended to assist courts as they implement ITV use and to train new staff.

Rules 131.05-.07 set forth the ground rules for conducting ITV sessions. The prohibition on recording ITV sessions set forth in Rule 131.06(i) and echoed throughout the rule is identical to that applicable to telephone hearings under MINN. GEN. R. PRAC. 115.09. This requirement is consistent with the directives of the supreme court regarding use of cameras in the courtroom. See In re Modification of Section 3A(10) of the Minnesota Code of Judicial Conduct, No. C7-81-300 (Minn. S. Ct., filed Jan. 11, 1996) (order reinstating experimental program for audio and video coverage of trial court proceedings): Order for Interactive Audio-Video Communications Experiment in First Judicial District-Mental Illness Commitment Proceedings, No. C6-90-649 (Minn. S. Ct., filed April 5, 1995); Order re Interactive Audio-Video communications Pilot Program in Third Judicial District Mental Illness Commitment Proceedings, No. C6-90-649 (Minn, S. Ct., filed Jan. 29, 1999); Order for Interactive Audio and Video Communications, Fourth Judicial District, Mental Health Division, Price and Jarvis Proceedings, No. C6-90-649 (Minn. S. Ct., filed April 8, 1991).

Rule 131.05(c) requires that counsel and their party must be present at the same terminal site unless otherwise permitted by the court. In commitment cases, court rules do not permit counsel for the patient and the patient to be present at different sites. See rule 14 of the Special Rules of Procedure Under the Minnesota Commitment and Treatment Act. Witnesses and other participants may be located at any terminal site that allows satisfactory video and audio reception.

Rule 131.07(c) describes equipment and room standards in functional terms. A more detailed discussion of technical issues and terminology can be found in STATEWIDE VIDEOCONFERENCING COMMITTEE, BRIDGING THE DISTANCE: IMPLEMENTING VIDEOCONFERENCING IN WISCONSIN (10/30/2007) (a dynamic document that is continually updated and that is currently available for download from the Wisconsin Supreme Court website, located at http://www.wicourts.gov/about/committees/ppacvidconf.htm).

### RULE 708, ITV IN CRIMINAL CASES.

78.3

# Use of ITV in criminal cases is governed by the rules of criminal procedure and rule 131.07 of these rules.

#### Advisory Committee Comments-2008 Amendment

On November 19, 2007, the Supreme Court issued an order promulgating Minn. R. Crim. P. 1.05governing the use of interactive video teleconference (ITV) in criminal proceedings. The order referred the task of developing rules governing the administrative procedures for conducting ITV hearings in criminal matters to the Advisory Committee on General Rules of Practice for the District Courts. In the interim, the Court ordered the State Court Administrator to develop temporary administrative procedures. The administrative procedures are set forth in Rule 131.07 of the General Rules of Practice for the District Courts

# Amendments to the Special Rules of Procedure Governing Proceedings under the Minnesota Commitment and Treatment Act:

# Rule 14 Location of Hearing, Rules of Decorum, Alternative Methods of Presenting Evidence

The judge or judicial officer shall assure the decorum and orderliness of any hearing held pursuant to Minn. Stat. ch. 253B. The judge or judicial officer shall afford to respondent an opportunity to be dressed in conformity with the dignity of court appearances.

A hearing may be conducted or an attorney for a party, a party, or a witness may appear by telephone, audiovisual, or other electronic means if the party intending to use electronic means notifies the other party or parties at least 24 hours seven days in advance of the hearing and the court approves. If a witness will be testifying electronically, the notice must include the name, address, and telephone number where the witness may be reached in advance of the hearing. This rule does not supersede Minn. Stat. §§ 595.02 – 595.08 (competency and privilege). Respondent's counsel will be physically present with the patient. The court shall insure that the respondent has adequate opportunity to speak privately with counsel, including, where appropriate, suspension of the audio recording or allowing counsel to leave the conference table to communicate with the client in private.

#### General Rules of Practice Advisory Committee Comment—2008 Amendment

Rule 14 is amended to lengthen the amount of notice required to be given by a litigant desiring to have a matter heard by electronic means, typically either telephone or interactive television. The seven days required by the rule can be adjusted by the court if necessary